Most of the readings for this course can be found in Sosa, Kim, Fantl, and McGrath (ed.), *Epistemology: An Anthology* 2nd edition (Blackwell-Wiley). Check KEATS for copies of our readings and notes for this course.

If you are looking for good introductory texts, I can recommend these:

Lemos covers all of the standard issues (e.g., the analysis of knowledge, the regress of justification, sources of knowledge and justification, the apriori, and sceptical arguments). Pritchard provides a nice introduction to recent debates (e.g., those having to do with the value of knowledge, epistemic luck, epistemic virtues, whether justification is an internalist notion). If you have little epistemology background, you should find the time to read both.

Readings below marked with an asterisk are not contained in our anthology. (I can send you these readings if you need them.) Discussion will focus on readings marked with ‘#’. You should have these read before we meet.

**Week 1: What is Knowledge?**
# Gettier, “Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?”
# Zagzebski, “The Inescapability of Gettier Problems”
Ayer, “Knowing as Having the Right to be Sure”*
Feldman, “An Alleged Defect In Gettier Cases”

**Week 2: Knowledge and Epistemic Luck**
# Dretske, “Conclusive Reasons”*
# Nozick, “Knowledge and Skepticism”
# Sosa, “How to Defeat Opposition to Moore”
Vogel, “Are there Counterexamples to the Closure Principle?”

**Week 3: Knowledge and Context**
# DeRose, “Solving the Skeptical Problem”
# Lewis, “Elusive Knowledge”
Stanley, *Knowledge and Practical Interests*
Fantl and McGrath, “Evidence, Pragmatics, and Justification”
Week 4: The Regress Problem
# Chisholm, “The Myth of the Given”
# BonJour, “Can Empirical Knowledge Have a Foundation?”
# Alston, “Has Foundationalism Been Refuted?”*
# Alston, “What is Wrong with Immediate Knowledge?”*
Davidson, “A Coherence Theory of Truth and Knowledge”

Week 5: Experience and Justification (I)
# Millar, “Experience and the Justification of Belief”*
# Brewer, “Foundations of Perceptual Knowledge”*
# Reynolds, “Knowing How to Believe with Justification”
McDowell, “Criteria, Defeasibility, and Knowledge”
Sosa, “Internal Foundations or External Virtues”*

Week 6: Experience and Justification (II)
# Pryor, “The Skeptic and the Dogmatist”*
# Wright, “Warrant for Nothing”*
White, “Problems for Dogmatism”*
Williamson, “Knowledge and Evidence”*

Week 7: Testimony
# Coady, “Testimony and Observation”*
# Fricker, “Against Gullibility”
# Foley, “Universal Intellectual Trust”*
Lackey, “Testimonial Knowledge and Transmission”

Week 8: Internalism/Externalism (I)
# Goldman, “What is Justified Belief?”
# Cohen, “Justification and Truth”*
Wedgwood, “Internalism Explained”*
Goldman, “Internalism Exposed”

Week 9: Internalism/Externalism (II)
# Williamson, “Knowledge and Evidence”*
# Williamson, “Knowledge and Assertion”*
# Silins, “Deception and Evidence”*
# Bird, “Justified Judging”*
Conee and Feldman, “Evidence”*
Sutton, “Stick to What You Know”*
Gibbons, “Things that Make Things Reasonable”*
Hawthorne and Stanley, “Knowledge and Action”*

Week 10: The Apriori
# Quine, “Two Dogmas of Empiricism”*
# Bealer, “The Incoherence of Empiricism”*
# Casullo, “Revisability, Reliabilism, and Apriori Knowledge”
Ayer, Language, Truth, and Logic*
Kitcher, “Apriori Knowledge Revisited”*
Kripke, *Naming and Necessity*

**Assessment:**
* Formative assessment: 2 x 1,500–2,000-word essays. I would like a hard copy of the first formative essay submitted to me the Monday after reading week. The second should be submitted to me the Monday after our last meeting. I will try to return comments on these essays promptly if they are submitted on time.
* Summative assessment: 1 x 2-hour end of year examination