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ABSTRACT

Objectives A pilot study to assess the practicality of introducing an enhanced mental health assessment (EMHA) into all routine and discharge medicals of the UK Armed Forces in order to facilitate treatment prior to and on return to civilian life.

Methods A pilot study was conducted using an EMHA questionnaire with questions about depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, alcohol use, sleep and anger/irritability. At pilot sites, the EMHA was completed during all routine and discharge medicals between May 2011 and July 2011. At the end of the study period, qualitative data were collected from participating medical officers and practice managers regarding their opinions about the pilot study.

Results The quantitative data revealed an average pick-up rate for mental health (MH) problems. Out of the four military medical centres who participated and the 325 questionnaires collected, one referral to a Department of Community Mental Health was made. 26 (8%) patients were categorised as ‘some concern and patient offered advice and/or reassurance’. The vast majority of patients were found to have no evidence of MH problems. However, using a validated alcohol screening tool, 64% of service personnel were found to have a score indicating ‘higher risk drinking’. Analysis of the qualitative data suggests that the EMHA is an easy tool to implement with minimal additional time and resources needed. The interviewees pointed out a number of limitations and suggestions for possible further studies.

Conclusions The pilot study successfully demonstrated that the EMHA questionnaire is easy to administer, does not take up a large amount of additional resources or manpower and provides a useful check of MH status. The study picked up an average number of MH cases and the results for mental health illness in the UK Armed Forces is largely similar to that found in the UK civilian population, but operational deployment is a known risk factor for some mental health conditions.

Key messages

- The prevalence of mental health illness in the Armed Forces is largely similar to that found in the UK civilian population, but operational deployment is a known risk factor for some mental health conditions.
- Stigma surrounding mental health is significant and may be preventing patients from seeking treatment.
- Routine and discharge medicals should address mental health as part of a full systems enquiry.
- Excessive alcohol consumption in the Armed Forces may be an issue.
- The Enhanced Mental Health Assessment is a useful and easily implemented tool, with minimal uplift in administrative resources and time. Incorporating this tool into routine and discharge medicals could assist in performing a more thorough mental health assessment.

INTRODUCTION

Service in the Armed Forces is generally associated with good mental and physical health. However, in the past few years, the mental health (MH) of service personnel and veterans has become a topic of considerable concern for the Ministry of Defence, the National Health Service and society as a whole. The evidence collected on the health of service personnel back to civilian life in the best possible health. Additionally, it acknowledges the need to pass personnel back to civilian life in the best possible health. Unfortunately, there is still a high degree of stigma associated with MH.

In August 2010, the Member of Parliament and former Royal Navy Doctor Andrew Murrison wrote a report entitled: ‘Fighting Fit: A Mental Health Plan for Servicemen and Veterans’. The aim of this report was to address veterans’ needs and provide extra MH support. In this report, Murrison explains that despite the MH services that are currently in place, service personnel with mental illnesses often do not seek help. The stigma associated with MH, especially in the forces, is still prevalent and this has a part to play in the reasons why MH may not be diagnosed during active service. The report recognises that stigma is an issue within the military as a population that sees itself as mentally and physically robust. Additionally, it acknowledges the need to pass personnel back to civilian life in the best possible health. Significantly, the report also recommends that servicemen who are identified as requiring a specialist opinion during active service should be able to obtain it and any follow-on treatment from a military Department of Community Mental Health (DCMH) for a 6-month period once they have left the services.

The report ‘Fighting Fit’ provides a number of recommendations all of which have the aim of improving MH services. Of the four principal recommendations, the ‘Incorporation of a structured mental health system enquiry into existing
medical examinations performed whilst serving' has led to this pilot study being conducted. The study aimed to assess the practicality of including an enhanced mental health assessment (EMHA) during routine and discharge medicals of serving personnel in order to detect MH Problems. An effective EMHA could facilitate earlier diagnosis and management and thus better MH outcomes for serving and ex-serving personnel.

METHODS

An EMHA questionnaire (Figure 1) was developed to highlight common MH problems such as depression, anxiety, alcohol misuse and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in keeping with the recommendations of the Murrison Report.1 The questions were taken from validated screening tools (Primary Care PTSD screen),5 Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT-C),6 Generalised Anxiety Disorder assessment7 and Patient Health Questionnaire.8

The questionnaires were to be completed as part of all routine and discharge medicals in the four participating medical centres (The Baird Medical Centre, the medical centres at the Army Training Centre (ATC) Pirbright, RAF Cranwell and Her Majesty’s Naval Base, Clyde (HMNB)) over a 3-month period.
from May 2011 aiming to complete 100 questionnaires per centre. Prior to commencing the pilot study, each medical centre received a briefing and a copy of the EMHA questionnaire. The questionnaires were completed during consultations in the presence of the clinician. Patients were asked the questions as part of the consultation although they could refuse to answer the questions if they wished.

**Data handling**

Quantitative data were collated within a Microsoft Excel database for analysis. Qualitative data were central in identifying the feasibility of employing the EMHA. The aim was to gather information from the medical officers and practice managers about their participation in the pilot study via telephone interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire. A convenience sample of the personnel across the four medical centres was used and the qualitative results underwent trend analysis in order to identify any recurring themes.

**RESULTS**

**Quantitative data**

A total of 325 questionnaires from the planned 400 (81%) were returned.

The modal average number of positive answers to the questions in the EMHA questionnaire was 0 (n=273, 84%). Overall, 2% (n=7) of patients had more than three positive answers. This included one patient who was already under psychiatric care scoring 11 positive answers, one patient scoring seven who received advice, one patient who scored six who required DCMH referral and four patients who scored four who were offered advice (n=3) or showed no evidence of MH problems (n=1). The overall outcomes are shown in Figure 2. One referral was made to a DCMH, and 8% (26) of patients were categorised as ‘some concern, and patient offered advice and/or reassurance’. The other outcome boxes represented only a handful of positive outcomes.

Data were collected on the alcohol consumption of British serving personnel, which identified ‘higher risk drinking’ in nearly two-thirds of responses. These results are discussed in more detail elsewhere.9

**Qualitative data**

Interviews were conducted with seven people (four doctors and three practice managers) on the basis of availability but all four medical centres were represented.

Prior to conducting the study, the initial feeling was that the EMHA was a hasty response to a government report and there was limited confidence that the study would provide any benefit in picking up MH problems during routine medicals. Interviewees felt that worries about stigma and possible effects on job progression could deter some patients from answering the questions honestly. There was also a considerable worry that implementing the questionnaire would require significant time and resources.

After completion of the pilot study, six interviewees felt that a MH assessment during discharge medicals was a useful screening tool. All felt that the questionnaire was quick and took up minimal extra time during consultations. However, the general feeling was that the EMHA did not reveal any problems that were not already evident from routine medicals. Some felt that a 3-month trial was insufficient and five people felt that the pilot study locations were not ideal such as phase I training establishments with a young, non-deployable population. They felt it would have been more beneficial to run the pilot study for a much longer period focusing on discharge medicals only. They all agreed that the idea behind it was good and that MH is an area that requires as much attention as the other aspects in a routine or discharge medical examination.

A number of recommendations arose from the telephone interviews. A tick box in the occupational health template on the Defence Medical Information Capability Programme (DMICP) reminding the doctor to address MH and alcohol consumption would be a desirable prompt. Another suggestion was that the military MH services should provide clear patient information leaflets to be handed out at discharge medicals for patients across all three services. These would detail all available MH services for veterans across the UK.

The alcohol section of the questionnaire was well received by all the interviewees. It was thought to have provided a very useful assessment tool and it was perceived that the format of the questions led to better and more honest answers from the patients. The consensus was that alcohol may be a significant problem among their patient populations and this tool may help to identify this problem more effectively.
DISCUSSION
MH in the UK Armed Forces
Serving in the Armed Forces can be a risk factor for the development of MH problems.10 Undoubtedly, military personnel do get exposed to stressful and traumatic situations, the scale of which is often beyond any comparable civilian experience and acute psychological distress can develop as a result.11 However, the most common MH disorders are anxiety and other more minor psychological conditions, and the prevalence of PTSD remains low.10

MH screening
Prevention of psychological morbidity
To date, no screening programme has been developed which could potentially detect MH problems prior to their manifestation. The American military implemented a selection programme in the Second World War with the aim of detecting individuals who could potentially develop MH problems. Initially, nearly two million men were removed from military service because they were highlighted as likely to break down; however, many were re-enlisted and the majority made satisfactory soldiers.12 There are many reasons why screening for MH susceptibility fails. In the American programme, one of the main reasons was lack of accuracy in the prediction methods. There is also a significant issue surrounding stigma and the perception of negative effects on career, which leads to a reluctance to admit to MH problems.12 Finally, in one study, screening produced a large number of false positives and false negatives, which highlighted that this type of screening programme would not provide a reliable method of identifying personnel at risk of developing MH problems.13 These issues are likely to have an impact on the findings of this pilot study.

Deployment and screening for MH problems
‘Post Deployment Health Assessment’ (PDHA) introduced by the US Army is a tool which screens soldiers upon return from deployment in order to promptly identify mental and MH problems.14 Studies have shown that the rates of reported deployment-related symptoms may increase with time after return from deployment.7,15–17 This led to an extension of the PDHA to include a re-evaluation at 3–6 months after return from a combat zone: ‘Post Deployment Health Reassessment’.14 A significant proportion of the literature concentrates on screening using the above tools. However, there are also a number of studies which focus on pre-deployment screening,13,18–19 or on screening personnel for specific MH disorders after they have been presented to MH services.20,21 Literature providing data on routine screening of military personnel regardless of deployment is scarce.

In this study, the assessment is conducted on personnel regardless of deployment history, so it may be difficult to compare the results with the available background literature.

LIMITATIONS
Our study does have significant limitations, which warrant discussion.

Subjectivity
Each medical officer implemented the questionnaire in a slightly different way. Some discussed each question with the patient while others placed the questionnaire in front of the patient for them to tick the boxes. In addition, the outcome box relies on the subjective judgement of the clinician. This may reduce the reliability and reproducibility of the results. One way in which this confounder was reduced was by the delivery of a brief to each medical centre prior to the pilot study where the questionnaire was explained and a set of criteria was given for when to tick each of the outcome boxes.

The subjective confounders may affect the reliability of the study results; conversely, it may be a true representation of how Defence MH assessments would be conducted in primary care should the EMHA be more widely implemented.

Incomplete questionnaires
Some questionnaires across each of the four medical centres had one or more questions or demographic details left blank leading to fewer questionnaires being fully useful for data analysis. The ideal solution to this would be to incorporate the template within DMICP.

Outcome box not sufficiently comprehensive
The questionnaire did not provide a separate outcome box for concerns regarding isolated alcohol problems. A medical officer may have deemed a particular patient to have some problems with alcohol consumption but not find any evidence of MH problems. Within the current questionnaire format, it would have been correct to tick ‘no evidence of mental health problems’ in the outcome box. However, another doctor may be tempted to tick ‘some concerns and offered advice/reassurance’. A separate alcohol outcome box—‘Alcohol consumption of concern only’—may solve this problem. Other alcohol problems such as harmful use and dependence would go in the MH outcome boxes.

Choice of pilot locations
The Baird Medical Centre has a patient population, which consists predominantly of senior officers. Pirbright medical centre has a large patient population 90% of whom are recruits and the vast majority of medicals here were initial medical assessments in a population that has not been on deployment. At HMNB Clyde a large proportion of the population are young sailors who may undertake nuclear deterrent patrols but are unlikely to have deployed. RAF Cranwell is a training establishment with a high percentage of trainee pilots who may have reservations about the questionnaire due to fear of their training being affected.

Deployment history
There is evidence that deployment, especially in combat roles, has an association with MH problems: ‘We also noted a significant association between deployment and probable post traumatic stress disorder in regular personnel who had a combat role during deployment’.3 The literature provides potentially contradictory evidence regarding a link between number of deployments and MH problems.22 However, several reports have assessed duration of deployment in relation to health and have shown that psychological distress is positively associated with duration of deployment.22 23 Potentially, a question regarding number and length of deployments in the EMHA would have been appropriate and relevant for the purposes of the questionnaire.

The literature and the qualitative data results from the study suggest that stigma remains a significant issue for the management of MH problems and we believe improved and increased education and awareness may encourage patients to seek help.
Further research
This pilot study successfully demonstrated that the EMHA questionnaire was easy to administer, did not take up large amounts of resources or manpower and provided a potentially valuable assessment tool. A further study where the limitations identified are addressed may provide further data to validate and possibly implement the EMHA questionnaire. A repeat study should be conducted for a minimum period of 6 months in a large Garrison setting, which incorporates deployable units and focuses solely on discharge medicals. The questionnaire itself should be available on DMICP and contain separate alcohol consumption outcome boxes.

CONCLUSIONS
The purpose of this pilot study was to assess the practicality of implementing the EMHA within routine and discharge medicals in UK Armed Forces. It demonstrated that this particular assessment tool would be easy to implement and would only require a minimal uplift in administrative resources, including time. The quantitative data have shown an average pick-up rate of MH problems. A further study using a more representative population could be used to assess the suitability of the EMHA as an assessment tool.
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