

healthier working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing continuity and promoting wellbeing

Aims of the research:

- Explore the challenges and opportunities for carers aged 50+
- Identify ways to promote healthier working lives and ageing for older care workers
- **Improve workforce planning** and support
- Particular interest around supporting **recruitment and retention**

Retaining Care Home Staff: Ethnographic Insights from Scotland

Sue Lewis & Bettina Zenz



healthier & working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing continuity and promoting wellbeing

Aims of the research:

- Explore the **challenges and opportunities** for **carers aged 50+**
- Identify ways to **promote healthier working lives and ageing** for older care workers
- **Improve workforce planning** and support
- Particular interest around supporting **recruitment and retention**

Today's presentation:

- The challenge faced
- Theoretical framing: why the focus on motivation?
- Retention themed findings
- Ethnography for co-design: a note
- Questions: ours and yours



healthier working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing continuity and promoting wellbeing

Fieldwork



- ✓ Between May to October 2022, data was collected from 6 independent care providers from Central Scotland
- ✓ 44 in-depth qualitative interviews from day and night shift workers aged 50+
- ✓ Combined with ethnographic observations

The Challenge

Crisis

Between 2020/21 and 2021/22

- UK care home vacancy rate rose sharply from 7.0% to 10.7%
- Vacancies rose from 110,000 to 165,000.
- Gap between the vacancy rate in social care and wider economy grew to 4.3 per cent.

(The King's Fund, *Social Care 360*, 2023)

Turnover

- 1 in 3 leave the sector every year (Care Association)
- Around 152,000 staff left the sector altogether in 20/21 (Nuffield Trust, 2022)
- But, around 3 in 5 stay within the sector

healthier working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing continuity and promoting wellbeing

Theoretical Framing



- ✓ Micromanagement versus staff self-direction (e.g., MacGregor's *Theory X/Theory Y*)
- ✓ Motivational factors need to be distinct from basic physiological and safety needs (e.g., Herzberg)
- ✓ The importance of *intrinsic* motivators like autonomy over tasks (e.g., Pink)

Why Motivation?

- Factors like **autonomy, flexible work environment, positive feedback** from managers on achievements are likely to motivate staff
- Can provide managers with **basic ideas for how to motivate staff**, but we need to understand how these might play – or are playing out – a in the context of residential social care
- **NOT suggesting this as a solution to an entrenched problem** ... rather, a short- to medium-term response to improving retention, challenging churn

healthier & working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing
continuity and promoting
wellbeing

Fieldwork



- ✓ Between May to October 2022, data was collected from 6 independent care providers from Central Scotland
- ✓ 44 in-depth qualitative interviews from day and night shift workers aged 50
- ✓ Combined with ethnographic observations

The data reveal different staff retention outcomes, which were shared unequally across participating homes.

- Staff retention was not considered an issue in two care homes
- A ‘major existential’ challenge in one home
- Retention a ‘significant’ concern affecting the remaining three participants



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- Asked what it's like to work here, "A" immediately emphasises teamwork. All staff work as a team and the managers are very good. Treat them well.
- "I am the only with this job title– it was made up just for me"



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- “Managers try to help and support staff where they can”
- Wellness and mental health initiatives (e.g. aromatherapy, discount at local leisure centre: “the managers put a lot of information about wellbeing and stress on the *WhatsApp* group”, but “I know it’s there, but I don’t use it”



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- Wellbeing and mindfulness sessions offered, plus Blue Light card – but not actively promoted
- Lack of supervision and mentoring support, and communications issues between staff and management: “nobody listens”; “you miss things in the paperwork and get pulled up on it – my anxiety is through the roof because of this”.



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- Family-feel. Hands-on management (“will roll up their sleeves” – but risk of encouraging burnout?)
- Encourage time with residents.
- Explicit awareness of staff work-life balance (e.g., shift flexibility): “if someone needs help or time off, that’s a given”.
- Career progression, high staff autonomy



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- Management listens, and recognises staff strengths. Career progression. Rotation between units. Staff autonomy relatively high: “management here do praise us and they chip in when needed.”
- Staff wellbeing campaign, Blue Lights card: “there is always information about mental health and stuff like that on our *WhatsApp* group.”
- Values-led recruiting; e.g., older male staff member with family/informal carer experience



Participating Care Homes: Profile Comparison



	Type	Single/group	Build	Location	Public Transport	Retention Experience
One	Family-run	Group of 2	Old, converted	Rural	None	Good
Two	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Small town	Good	Good*
Three	Corporate	Single	Purpose	Larger town	Good	Poor
Four	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Rural	Not regular	Good*
Five	Corporate	Large group	Purpose	Town centre	Regular	Phases
Six	Charity	Single	Old, converted	Small town	Good	Poor

- Good work ethic, shared; staff who leave often come back: “we want to keep our good standards”.
- Some incentives offered; permanent staff have some healthcare cover (bank staff don’t feel included)
- Limited flexibility: “I don’t feel looked after by anyone.”
- Management don’t feel they’re short-staffed; staff do: “the managers are too busy”; “although we get on well enough [with managers], I don’t feel I have a voice in here.”

healthier working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing continuity and promoting wellbeing

A Note on Co-Design



- ✓ This ethnographic work also prepared the ground for innovative co-design work with care home staff
- ✓ Workshops with all 6 care homes have produced current projects, inc. digitalisation of intergenerational communication and paperwork reduction

Motivators

Tokens of appreciation: e.g., retail vouchers, a staff BBQ

Blue Light Card: discount scheme for emergency services

Access to a Medicash policy: covers a range of essential healthcare expenses such as dental treatment, eye care, physiotherapy.

Internal wellbeing and mental health programmes: e.g., fitness challenges, meditation, massages

Discount at local leisure centre

Free meals or snacks on shift

healthier working lives

for the care workforce

Developing careers, enhancing
continuity and promoting
wellbeing



With thanks to our
researcher,
Bettina Zenz

Any questions?

- Recruitment challenges are felt by all care homes
- Retention experiences vary: not linked to context or configuration
- Staff value spending time with residents above almost everything else
- Small motivational interventions appear to be well received by staff, even in the context of low pay
- Link between ability to retain staff and following through with motivational interventions