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ABSTRACT

Background Having a visual, hearing or physical impairment (defined as problems in body function or structure) may adversely influence the mental well-being of military personnel. This paper reviews the existing literature regarding the prevalence of mental health problems among (ex-)military personnel who have a permanent, predominantly, physical impairment.


Results 25 papers were included in the review, representing 17 studies. Studies conducted among US military personnel were most represented. A range of mental health disorders were investigated; predominately post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), but also depression, anxiety disorder (excluding PTSD), psychological distress and alcohol misuse. The findings indicate that mental health disorders including PTSD (range 2–59%), anxiety (range 16.1–35.5%), depression (range 9.7–46.4%) and psychological distress (range 13.4–36%) are frequently found whereby alcohol misuse was least common (range 2.2–26.2%).

Conclusions Common mental health disorders were frequently identified among (ex-)military personnel with a physical impairment. Adequate care and support is necessary during the impairment adaptation process to facilitate the psychosocial challenges (ex-)military personnel with an impairment face. Future research should be directed towards factors impacting on the mental well-being of (ex-)military personnel with an impairment, how prevalence rates vary across impairment types and to identify and act on specific needs for care and support.

BACKGROUND

In the past decade, the proportion of military personnel who died during a conflict decreased due to technological and medical progression, including protective gear and equipment, the rapid removal of severely injured personnel from the battlefield and increased use of military tourniquets. Consequently, combat-related morbidity among those returning from conflicts increased. Furthermore, it has been posited that military personnel who have served in the conflicts in Iraq or Afghanistan are more likely to sustain particular impairments than personnel who have served in other conflicts as a result of the increased use of improvised explosive devices. Wounds to the extremities are common, which may result in amputations. Besides amputations, other impairments are reported including vision or hearing loss and head injury.

Recent studies assessed the mental well-being of the US and UK troops that have been deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan, suggesting that the rates of mental health problems vary but are substantial. We know that combat-related trauma experienced while on deployment is a risk factor for mental or physical health problems. Studies among military personnel from the US, UK and Israel suggest that, compared to uninjured personnel, those injured during deployment have significantly higher rates of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Wounded or injured US soldiers were also more likely to misuse alcohol or be diagnosed with any mental health disorders (eg, PTSD, anxiety, mood, adjustment, substance abuse). However, no increased prevalence of mental health disorders other than PTSD was identified among the UK sample. Furthermore, the physical and psychological issues of adapting to a life with an impairment may affect the well-being of the person.

This paper reviews the prevalence of mental health disorders among, mainly physically, permanently impaired (ex-)military personnel.
significant deviation or loss. We used the terms visual and hearing impairment to refer to problems with vision and hearing, respectively, whereas a physical impairment included problems with extremities, mobility, spinal cord injury or missing limbs. A permanent impairment suggests an impairment that supposedly will not improve and remains for life including amputations, irreversible vision and hearing loss. It was not possible to use more stringent criteria for the different types of impairment as often limited detail about the impairment was provided in the studies published. We aimed to review studies including a variety of impairment types. However, the majority of the studies identified focused on physically impaired participants; this is therefore the main focus of the current review paper. The term (ex-)military personnel included those currently serving in the Armed Forces and those who had now left the Forces and returned to civilian life (often referred to as veterans).

After a first selection by SAMS, based on title and abstract, the remaining 112 papers were read in full by SAMS and EMM and assessed for inclusion in the review. Any discrepancies were discussed. Also the bibliographies of the selected papers were scanned for eligible studies.

A quality scoring methodology was used to critically appraise the studies included. This methodology was derived from a framework for critical appraisal. The quality score was calculated based on five criteria with a highest possible score of 5 and 0 as the lowest possible score. A high score indicated a better quality study. The criteria included; (1) clear statement of aims/description of target population: yes (score 1), no (score 0); (2) sample: random sampling (score 1), non-random sampling for example, opportunity or self-selected (score 0); (3) sample size >1000 (score 1), <1000 (score 0); (4) response rate/follow-up rate >60% (score 1), <60% or not stated (score 0); (5) use of standardised/validated measures yes (score 1), no (score 0). The studies were independently appraised by CM and SJ and the results compared. Any discrepancies were discussed with SS and a consensus was reached. Final quality scores are shown in online supplementary table S2.

Forest plots were created to provide an overview of the prevalence estimations from the different studies per mental health disorder. This was carried out using the Forest Plot Viewer Graphing Tool. The different impairment groups identified in the various studies and the few studies that included a control group are represented in the forest plots. Reference lines have been added in the forest plots at baseline (0%) and first quartile (25%) to guide the reader.

RESULTS
A total of 2946 papers were identified during the initial searches. After removing the duplicates, 2352 papers were screened. Based on title and abstract, 2240 papers were excluded and 112 full-text papers were assessed (figure 1). Twenty-five papers were included in the review, based on 17 different studies (see table 1). Eight studies were based on a sample of US (ex-)military personnel,10 25–26 The other studies were conducted in Sri Lanka (3),17–19 Croatia (2),20–21 Iran (1),23 Korea (1),24 Nicaragua (1)15 and the UK (1).19 26 The design of the studies varied. Seven cross-sectional surveys were identified,10 19 20 26 34–37 47 five case-control studies,30 31 38 42 44 45 47 four studies that analysed hospital records and/or military databases,27–29 32 33 and there was one cohort study.25 Out of the 17 studies, seven included male and female (ex-)military personnel.10 20 25–29 32 33 35 46

The majority of the studies involved (ex-)military personnel with a physical impairment, such as an extremity amputation or spinal cord injury.19 26–34 36–38 41–44 46 47 Two studies focused solely on hearing impairments25 27 and three studies included participants with a range of impairments, including physical, vision and hearing impairment.10 20 22 26 39 45 A wide variety of diagnostic and screening measures were used as well as data records and self-report, to identify a variety of mental health disorders (table 1).

Online supplementary table S2 summarises the findings, limitations and quality score of the included studies. The majority of the studies investigated the prevalence of PTSD (n=13),10 20 26–37 41 43 45–47 followed by depression (n=9),10 19 20 25 26 29 32 34–36 42–44 46 anxiety (n=5),19 29 41 43 44 46 substance misuse (n=5)29 32 38 39 45 and psychological distress (n=3),32 38 39 45 The majority of the papers received a quality score of 2 (n=14), followed by a quality score of 3 (n=9) and 1 (n=2) (see online supplementary table S2).

Post-traumatic stress disorder
Overall, the prevalence of PTSD was highest in a study of US military personnel with different types of amputations that returned from deployment in Iraq or Afghanistan; 59% self-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year of publication</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Number of respondents*</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Service status</th>
<th>Deployment</th>
<th>Health measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abeyasinghe et al, 2012</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88.9%</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Sri Lankan Civil War</td>
<td>1. PTSD screening questionaire†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams et al, 2006</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Total: 493</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. ICD-9-CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boakye et al, 2013</td>
<td>Analysis of records</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. Self-reported depression, PTSD, substance abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delimar et al, 1998 Sivik et al, 2000</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Total: 90 (30 disabling injuries; 30 non-disabling injuries; 30 active soldiers)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>Active duty ≥3 months combat experience‡</td>
<td>1. CIDI-PTSD interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmond et al, 2006, Desmond 2007</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>2500 questionnaires distributed 1222 returned</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Majority World War II</td>
<td>1. HADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doukas et al, 2013</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>1. CESD-R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebrahimzadeh et al, 2009</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Iraq–Iran War</td>
<td>1. self-reported mental health disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagelson et al, 2007</td>
<td>Analysis of records</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. Clinical Diagnosis DSMI-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregurek et al, 1996</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Croatian War of Independence</td>
<td>1. Clinical interview PTSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunawardena et al, 2007</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Total 922: 461 amputee soldiers 461 non-amputee controls</td>
<td>98.3% amputee soldiers; 97.6% non-amputee controls</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. GHQ-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hume et al, 1994</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Total 133: 72 war-wounded (ex-)service personnel 10 severely disabled ex-Contra-guerrillas¶ 51 non-war-wounded (ex-)service personnel</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Contra War Nicaragua</td>
<td>1. GHQ-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasturiaratchi et al, 2004</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Active duty</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. GHQ-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al, 2006</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Total 132: 56 LDH conscripts (of which 49 complete data) 76 healthy conscripts</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1. VAS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
| Authors, year of publication | Study design | Sample | Overall sample size | Number of respondents* | Response rate | Country | Service status | Deployment | Health measures |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Martz et al, 2001           | Analysis of records/Case–control | NA     | 45 320              | NA                     | US           | Veterans| Not reported  | 1. PTSD clinical diagnosis  |
| Radnitz et al, 1998, Radnitz et al, 1998 | Case–control | 181    | 97 veterans with spinal cord injuries (of which 45 paraplegic and 52 quadriplegic) 43 veterans with non-spinal cord injuries | Not reported | US Veterans | Not reported | Afghanistan |

*For all the studies the overall number of participants has been reported. In a few cases also the number of participants per specific impairment type has been described.
†Based on the Impact of Event Scale and PTSD symptom Scale.
‡Not professional trained soldiers but had joined the war by spontaneously defending their homes.
§Subsample of the Desmond and MacLachlan** studies. Only selected participants with an upper-limb amputation.
*Ex-contra guerrillas refer to soldiers who fought against the Nicaraguan government (and their government soldiers).
**Study population from Melcer et al** combined with a group of soldiers with serious extremity injuries without amputation.
††Reporting on the OIF/OEF subsample from Reiber et al.**
‡‡Reporting on the OIF/OEF subsample from Reiber et al.**
BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BSI, Brief Symptom Inventory; CAGE, Screening Test for Alcohol Dependence (CAGE); CAPS, Clinician Administered PTSD Scale; CESD-R, Revised Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; CIDI-PTSD, Composite International Diagnostic Interview—PTSD; CSI, coping strategy indicator; DSM, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual; GHQ, General Health Questionnaire; IADLs, instrumental activities of daily living; ICD, International Classification of Disease Codes; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification Codes; IES, Impact of Event Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; LS, lumbar spinal stenosis; MINI, Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MMPIT, Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (Hypochondriasis, Depression, Hysteria, Alexithymia); mOSW, Modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; M-PTSD, Mississippi Scale for Combat-Related PTSD; NA, not applicable; OIF, Operation Iraq Freedom; OEF, Operation Enduring Freedom; OPUS, Orthotic and Prosthetic User's Survey; PEQ, Prosthetic Evaluation Questionnaire; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; PCL, PTSD Checklist Military version; PTSS, Post-Traumatic Symptom Scale; QOL, single item measure of Quality of Life; SCI, spinal cord injury; SCID, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R; SF, Short-Form Health Survey; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; TAPES, Trinity Amputation and Prosthesis Experience Scales; THI, Tinnitus Handicap Inventory; TSI, Tinnitus Severity Index; TSRS, Trauma Severity Rating Scale; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale (pain).
reported suffering from PTSD (total sample size n=283). The lowest prevalence of PTSD was identified among quadriplegic US military personnel (2%; n=52). In a study of 89 Croatian soldiers, those with non-disabling injuries had a higher prevalence of PTSD, 52.9% (n=29), followed by those with a permanent disabling injury (at least one extremity amputation) (29.4%; n=30) and soldiers who were still actively serving (17.7%; n=30).

The only study that examined the prevalence of PTSD among soldiers with a hearing impairment suggested that 34% of the US soldiers (n=300) fulfilled the criteria for probable PTSD. However, the former also filled in the Beck Depression Inventory, resulting in a smaller proportion moderately or severely depressed; 40%. In addition, depression levels were high among 49 Korean conscripts with lumbar disc herniation, 40.8%.

DEPRESSION
Levels of self-reported depression were highest among US veterans with a spinal cord injury (46.4%; n=168), compared to a study among Iran soldiers with a lower limb amputation (9.7%; n=31). However, the former also filled in the Beck Depression Inventory, resulting in a smaller proportion moderately or severely depressed; 40%. In addition, depression levels were high among 49 Korean conscripts with lumbar disc herniation, 40.8%.

Abrams and colleagues found that 29.3% (n=123) of US veterans with a hearing impairment were depressed whereas 6.5% of the controls, US veterans without a hearing impairment, were depressed (n=370). In a separate study, military personnel with hearing loss were not more likely to report depression compared to those without hearing loss.

ANXIETY
The levels of probable anxiety disorder ranged from 16.1% to 35.5% among Iranian soldiers with above knee amputations (self-reported anxiety; n=31) and UK service men (n=138) with an upper limb amputation, respectively.
soldiers with an extremity amputation, the diagnosis of probable 
clinical anxiety was considerable, 34% (n=582; figure 4).

SUBSTANCE MISUSE
More than a quarter of US veterans with a spinal cord injury 
self-reported alcoholism or intravenous drug use (26.2%; n=168). Gunawardena et al. suggested that only 2.2% (n=461) of the Sri Lankan soldiers with amputations had a sub- 
stance abuse problem compared to 0.7% (n=461) of the con- 
trols (non-amputee civilians; figure 5).

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOMATIC SYMPTOMS OF DISTRESS
Levels of psychological distress were higher among Sri Lankan 
soldiers who had undergone an amputation (36%; n=461), 
compared to healthy controls (8.9%; n=461). Another study 
among permanently disabled Sri Lankan soldiers (n=408) sug- 
gested that a positive General Health Questionnaire score, meas- 
uring psychological distress, was associated with increased 

alcohol consumption. A study conducted in Nicaragua sug- 
gested that war-wounded soldiers (n=72) were more likely to 
be identified with probable psychological distress (33.3%) com- 
pared to those not wounded (9.8%; n=51; see online supple- 
mentary table S2; figure 6).

DISCUSSION
Principal findings
We identified 25 papers, reflecting 17 studies, which reported 
on the association between having predominantly a physical 
impairment and mental health problems among (ex-)military 
personnel. Overall, the reviewed studies indicate that mental 
health disorders including PTSD (range 2–59%), anxiety (range 
16.1–35.5%), depression (range 9.7–46.4%) and psychological 
distress (range 13.4–36%) are prevalent and highly variable 
among (ex-)military personnel with an impairment. Substance 

misuse was less common, but still present (range 2.2–26.2%).

Strengths and weaknesses
A strength of the study was the search of four literature data-
bases using a broad search strategy. Furthermore, the eligibility 
assessment of full-text articles and the critical appraisal of the 

studies included by two independent reviewers also strength- 
ened the review. The present review has several weaknesses. 
First, only English language papers were included in the review. 
Second, the majority of the studies only investigated the mental 
well-being of personnel with an impairment at one point in 
time. Therefore, no causal inference can be made whether 
becoming impaired triggered or contributed to the development 
of mental health problems. Longitudinal studies following mili- 
tary personnel over time may provide more insight in the actual 
process of coping and adaptation when becoming impaired. 
Third, the type of measures used to assess mental health pro-
blems as well as cut-off points for diagnostic criteria varied 
widely, and findings are difficult to compare. However, combin-
ing these papers in a single review contributes to the broader 
understanding. Fourth, studies often lacked information that 
would have been helpful for the contextualisation and interpret-
ation of the findings. For example, time since being impaired, 
actual cause of impairment, service arm, rank and details on 
combat exposure were often missing. Finally, the sample size of 
studies varied (range n=31–43 320) as well as the selection pro-
cedures and study populations. The above limitations are also 
reflected in a poor to moderate quality score of the studies 
(average 2.3). Owing to the heterogeneity of the studies 
included, the current review provides a broad overview on the
impact of impairment on the mental well-being in different military populations and provides directions for future research. Despite these limitations, the review has implications as military personnel are currently coming back with service attributable injuries and their physical and mental health needs careful consideration.

Comparison literature
There is a wealth of information on the prevalence of mental health disorders in military personnel. Therefore, we will only compare our results with the main UK, USA and other relevant (literature review) studies.

The US Millennials cohort study is a very large population-based cohort study that started in 2001 and aims to follow-up approximately 200,000 military personnel. Their baseline data suggested a prevalence of 3.2% for depression, 2% for anxiety, 12.6% for alcohol misuse and 2.4% for PTSD. Results from a large study conducted among four US combat infantry units recorded that predeployment levels of mental disorders (e.g., symptoms of anxiety, depression and PTSD) were between 9% and 15% compared to between 11% and 17% 3–4 months post-deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. An extensive study carried out among 103,788 US veterans who had been deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan found that 13% of those who visited a veterans affairs healthcare facility had a PTSD diagnosis, compared to non-veterans matched for age and sex. Levels of PTSD and severe alcohol misuse were similar; 2.2% and 4.6% for the veterans and 2.9% and 7.6% for the non-veterans.

A literature review concerning the prevalence of combat-related PTSD among military personnel and veterans who had been deployed to Iraq suggested that the prevalence range of combat-related PTSD was higher among US veterans compared to UK veterans, between the 4–17% and 3–6%, respectively. According to the authors these different findings might be influenced by various study design factors as well as issues related to the deployment and sociopolitical and cultural context. Gadernmann et al. reported a best estimate for the prevalence of current major depression in US military personnel after conducting a meta-analysis of 25 studies; 12% for US serving personnel who are currently deployed, 13.1% for those who had been deployed and 5.7% for US serving personnel who had not been deployed yet. Comparing the findings from the current literature with the findings from the studies outlined before, mental health disorders seem to be more prevalent among (ex-)military personnel with a physical impairment. However, we need to be cautious due the heterogeneity across studies.

Some of the studies included in the review showed findings that were counterintuitive and warrant discussion. The study by Delimar et al. suggested that the PTSD prevalence rate was highest among soldiers with non-disabling injuries, followed by those with a permanent disabling injury and healthy active duty soldiers. The authors suggested that those with a non-disabling injury experienced more stress because they feared being sent back to the front. Furthermore, they may have experienced a stronger sense of helplessness during the traumatic event as they often did not lose consciousness, in contrast to soldiers with permanent disabling injuries. Amputees were less likely to have PTSD compared to non-amputees with serious extremity injuries in a study conducted among US military personnel, 18.1% vs 32.1%, respectively. Several factors including differences in care after the injury, social and emotional support from colleagues and/or family may explain the difference but further investigation is necessary. It is important to note that these studies were cross-sectional in design and no information is available about the onset of the mental health disorder. Participants could have experienced other traumatic life events before or after their injury that may have triggered the development of PTSD or other mental health disorders.

The majority of the studies included in the review focused solely on (ex-)military personnel with an amputation. Besides high levels of PTSD, also substantial levels of depression,
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**Figure 6** Forest plot describing the prevalence of distress (psychological or somatic) across studies.
anxiety and psychological distress were found. A review of the psychological challenges identified among people with a lower limb amputation concluded that depression and anxiety are more prevalent among lower limb amputees up to 2 years after amputation. This is followed by a gradual decline to levels similar to the general population. The only study included in our review that examined this relationship did not find a significant association between time since amputation and PTSD, depression or anxiety, however all their participants had their amputation at least 5 years ago.

Implications
The physical health of those severely injured during an operational deployment needs to be prioritised. Yet, clinicians should be aware that once physical recovery is progressing, the mental health of the patient needs evaluation. Adaptation and coping skills should be provided to facilitate the psychosocial challenges (ex-)military personnel with an impairment face.

Conclusions
Common mental health disorders are frequently reported among (ex-)military personnel with a physical impairment but rates vary considerably. Only few studies have looked into the association between various mental health disorders and different forms of impairments. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution and research should be directed into comparing prevalence rates of mental health disorders across impairment types and factors impacting this association.
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